## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ESTELLE KEVETT CARROLL,

Plaintiff.

-against-

EXPERIAN SERVICES CORP.,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONIC DOC #:	ALLY FILED
DATE FILED:_	7/8/2025

25-CV-4978 (MMG)

ORDER OF SERVICE

MARGARET M. GARNETT, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action alleging that Defendant violated her rights under the Fair Credit Reporting Act. By order dated June 26, 2025, the court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), that is, without prepayment of fees.

## DISCUSSION

Because Plaintiff has been granted permission to proceed IFP, she is entitled to rely on the Court and the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service. Walker v. Schult, 717 F.3d. 119, 123 n.6 (2d Cir. 2013); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ("The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process . . . in [IFP] cases."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(3) (the court must order the Marshals Service to serve if the plaintiff is authorized to proceed IFP).

To allow Plaintiff to effect service on Defendant Experian Services Corporation through the U.S. Marshals Service, the Clerk of Court is instructed to fill out a U.S. Marshals Service Process Receipt and Return form ("USM-285 form") for Defendant. The Clerk of Court is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure generally requires that a summons be served within 90 days of the date the complaint is filed, Plaintiff is proceeding IFP and could not have effected service until the Court reviewed the complaint and ordered that any summonses be issued. The Court therefore extends the time to serve until 90 days after the date any summonses issue.

further instructed to issue a summons and deliver to the Marshals Service all the paperwork necessary for the Marshals Service to effect service upon Defendant.

If the complaint is not served within 90 days after the date the summons is issued,

Plaintiff should request an extension of time for service. *See Meilleur v. Strong*, 682 F.3d 56, 63

(2d Cir. 2012) (holding that it is the plaintiff's responsibility to request an extension of time for service).

Plaintiff must notify the Court in writing if her address changes, and the Court may dismiss the action if Plaintiff fails to do so.

## CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is instructed to issue a summons for Defendant, complete the USM-285 form with the address for Defendant, and deliver all documents necessary to effect service to the U.S. Marshals Service.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail an information package to Plaintiff. SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 8, 2025

New York, New York

MARGARHI M. GARNET United States District Judge

## SERVICE ADDRESS FOR DEFENDANT

Experian Services Corporation 475 Anton Blvd. Costa Mesa, CA 92626